Tuning of PID Controller Parameters with Genetic Algorithm Method on DC Motor
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ABSTRACT

Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controllers are used in general to control a system, for example a DC motor system. The difficulty of using the controller is parameter tuning, because the tuning parameters still use the trial and error method to find the PID parameter constants, namely Proportional Gain (KP), Integral Gain (KI) and Derivative Gain (KD). In this case, the genetic algorithm method is used which can give better results in each iteration. Genetic algorithms are one of the smart methods inspired by the process of natural selection, the process that causes biological evolution, this concept is applied to tuning PID parameters. This research uses the Matlab simulation method and applies the simulation results to the DC motor hardware using the Arduino Uno. The genetic algorithm method gives a system that has a better steady time and a smaller maximum spike than the Trial and Error method. The test process produced the two best data with an overshoot value = 2, settling time = 13.5 and rise time of 2.7872 and the PID parameter value for mutation of 1 was KP = 3.7500; KI = 1.3184 and KD = 0.2051. Then the value of the best PID parameter on Crossover is 0.4, which is KP = 4.2090; KI = 1.2012 and KD = 0.2539 with an overshoot value = 2, settling time = 18 and rise time = 2.6462.

This is an open access article under the CC–BY-SA license.

1. Introduction

Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controllers are conventional controllers that are still widely used in the industrial world compared to more modern types of controls [1]. The PID controller has 3 parameters, namely KP (Proportional Constants), KI (Integral Constants), KD (Derivative Constants) in designing the controllers, the goal is to get the optimal system response according to the desired design specifications [2]. There are several methods of PID tuning, including the Ziegler-Nichols method [3] and the Fuzzy method [4][5]. Most of these tuning methods require a broad knowledge of the control system. So the need for an alternative to tuning automation and practitioners that do not require in-depth control system knowledge.
To overcome the problem, can be used methods such as intelligent Optimization Algorithm [6][7] or the Heuristic Method [8][9].

In this final project research, Genetic Algorithm is used to determine PID controller parameters so that the controller can produce a satisfactory system response [10]. Genetic Algorithm is an optimization technique based on natural evolution through mutation, crossover and selection processes [11]. Based on the previous research, genetic algorithm have better result than another algorithm [12]. After obtaining the system model, the Genetic Algorithm is used off-line by simulation to determine the PID controller parameters. Then, the parameter will implement in the DC motor System that handle by Microcontroller Arduino. The implementation is used to know the best method to determine the PID controller parameter id DC motor system.

2. Methods

3.1. Control System Block Diagram

In the design of this system, a genetic algorithm is used to determine the PID controller parameters. The PID controller block diagram with transfer function is shown in Fig. 1.

![PID controller tuning block diagram](image1)

Fig. 1. PID controller tuning block diagram

Based on Figure 1, the workings of the system created are input in the form of a PID parameter tuning using a Genetic Algorithm (GA) as a control method to find PID parameter constants. The plant used is a feedback control system whose output is a speed from a DC motor.

3.2. System Design

In addition to the PID controller tuning block diagram in this study, a Hardware block diagram and hardware wiring were also made to show an explanation of the hardware used. Hardware block diagram is shown in Fig. 2.

![Hardware Block Diagram](image2)

Fig. 2. Hardware Block Diagram
Figure 2 shows a block diagram of a DC motor modeling using the Arduino Uno R3 board as the system controller. The Arduino board gets a voltage supply from the power supply that has been prepared of 12 volts which is distributed to the motor driver to drive the DC motor, then the rotating motion of the DC motor will be recorded by the encoder which will be given to the Arduino Uno R3 so that the results can be seen in the Arduino IDE software on the menu serial monitor and serial plotter.

3.3. Wiring Diagram

The wiring diagram or wiring diagram of the system created can be seen in Fig. 3. Hardware design is made to control DC motors, and motor drivers to see the system response and also as a means of collecting the data needed to model DC motors using a system identification modeling approach, the data to be used are in the form of voltage and speed of the DC motor.

![Wiring Diagram](image)

Fig. 3. Wiring Hardware

3.4. Genetic Algorithm

The Genetic Algorithm is depicted in the form of a flowchart shown in Fig. 4. Based on Figure 4, the main standard genetic algorithm includes the following four operators. GA selection is a selection operation that will select the parent solution. During the reproductive phase of GA, individuals are selected from the population and recombined, resulting in offspring which in turn will comprise the next generation. The crossover takes two parents and cuts the parent chromosome string at several randomly selected positions, to produce two “head” segments and two “tail” segments. The mutations are applied to each child individually, after the crossover.

3. Result and Discussion

In the section, the DC Motor Transfer Function model is taken from [13] as

$$G(s) = \frac{\omega(s)}{v(s)} = \frac{0.01}{0.005s^2 + 0.06s + 0.1001}$$

where the input is voltage $v(s)$ and output is angular speed $\omega(s)$.

3.1. Testing using the Trial and Error Method

Tests with trial and error methods or trial and error methods on the values of KP, KI and KD were carried out to determine the comparison of the PID parameters obtained. Testing with this method uses a DC Motor Plant in Matlab as shown in Fig. 5.
The results of testing using the Trial and Error method are shown in Table 1. The test was carried out five times with the results in the form of a graphic on the DC motor Plant. The graph is shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Based on the result, the trial and error method have poor performance and response.

![Genetic algorithm program flowchart](image)

**Fig. 4.** Genetic algorithm program flowchart

![DC Motor Plant at Matlab](image)

**Fig. 5.** DC Motor Plant at Matlab
Table 1. Testing 1 uses the Trial and Error Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>PID Controller Parameter</th>
<th>Rise time</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>KI</td>
<td>KD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.4440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Testing using the Genetic Algorithm (GA) Method

Testing using the Genetic Algorithm method is carried out with three kinds of tests which are influenced by the function of the genetic algorithm itself. The functions of genetic algorithms include the mutation process, the process of crossing over and the number of generation variations. The testing process is run with Matlab.

3.2.1. Tests using GA with mutation effect

The effect of mutation is carried out by the process of entering a mutation value of 0.8 and 1. Then entering a crossover value of 0.6 in all the data tested and using the number of generations of 100. The fitness results and PID parameter for the DC Motor Transfer Function in the model selection equation are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.
The next test is to enter the PID parameter values (KP, KI, KD) obtained from the tuning results of mutations at the Matlab DC Motor Plant. The results displayed from the test are in the form of a graph shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11.

![Fig. 10. Simulation results with a mutation constant are 0.8](image1)

![Fig. 11. Simulation results with a mutation constant are 1](image2)

The results shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 can be considered better than the graph using the Trial and Error method. The results of the test using the GA Method with this mutation effect are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Crossover</th>
<th>Generations</th>
<th>Best Fitness</th>
<th>KP Gain</th>
<th>KI Gain</th>
<th>KD Gain</th>
<th>Rise time</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.1027</td>
<td>7.6270</td>
<td>1.9238</td>
<td>0.2930</td>
<td>0.0813</td>
<td>0.1500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.6586</td>
<td>3.7800</td>
<td>1.3184</td>
<td>0.2051</td>
<td>0.1288</td>
<td>0.2428</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the results in Table 2, it is obtained that the overshoot value is 0. The overshoot obtained is optimal with the PID parameter value that meets the requirements, namely KP ≥ KI ≥ KD.

3.2.2. Tests using GA with crossover effect

Effect of crossovers do with the process of entering a value crossover 0.2 and 0.4. Next, enter a mutation value of 0.6 on all tested data and use the number of generations of 100. The fitness results and PID parameter for the DC Motor Transfer Function in the model selection equation are shown in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13.

![Fig. 12. The fitness results and PID parameter with the crossover constant are 0.2](image3)

![Fig. 13. The fitness and PID parameter results with the crossover constant are 0.4](image4)
The next test is to include the value of the PID parameters (KP, KI, KD) obtained from the tuning results crossovers in Matlab DC Motor Plant. The results displayed from the test are in the form of a graphic shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15.

![Fig. 14. The simulation results with the crossover constant are 0.2](image1)

![Fig. 15. The simulation results with the crossover constant are 0.4](image2)

The results shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 can be considered better than the graph with the Trial and Error method, but not better than the graph using the GA Mutation method, which displays the graph without more overshoot. The results of the test using the GA method with the crossover effect are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Crossover</th>
<th>Generations</th>
<th>Best Fitness</th>
<th>KP Gain</th>
<th>KI Gain</th>
<th>KD Gain</th>
<th>Rise time</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.6874</td>
<td>1.8750</td>
<td>5.9961</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
<td>0.6191</td>
<td>36.1315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.9547</td>
<td>4.2090</td>
<td>1.2012</td>
<td>0.2539</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
<td>0.2737</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the results in Table 3, it is obtained an overshoot value of 0. The overshoot obtained is optimal with the PID parameter values that meet the requirements, namely KP ≥ KI ≥ KD.

3.2.3. Tests using GA with the Influence of the Number of Generations of Variation

The effect of the number of generations largely determines the PID parameter, this number of generations does not absolutely have to be bigger or smaller because it is determined by the movement of the best fitness value. The number of generations used in this simulation consists of five data, namely the number of generations of 120 and the number of generations of 150. Next, enter the value of mutation and crossover 0.6 in all data tested. The simulation results for the Transfer Function DC Motor in the model selection equation are shown in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18.

The next test is to enter the PID parameter values (KP, KI, KD) obtained from the simulation results of the Number of Generation Variations at the Matlab DC Motor Plant. The results displayed from the test are in the form of graphs shown in Fig. 18 and Fig. 19.

The results shown in the graph above can be considered better than the graph with the Trial and Error method and the graph with the GA Mutation and crossover methods because they display more overshoot. The results of testing using the GA method with the effect of the number of generations of variations are shown in Table 4.
The Fitness Results Number of Generations 120

The Fitness Results Number of Generation 150

Graph of Simulation Results Number of Generations 120

Graph of Simulation Results for the Number of Generations 150

Table 4. Testing 4 uses the GA method with the effect of the number of generations of variations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Cross-over</th>
<th>Generations</th>
<th>Best Fitness</th>
<th>KP gain</th>
<th>KI Gain</th>
<th>KD Gain</th>
<th>Rise time</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23.4426</td>
<td>3.7695</td>
<td>0.5469</td>
<td>0.6152</td>
<td>0.3889</td>
<td>0.7122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>29.5037</td>
<td>8.6532</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>4.9025</td>
<td>1.2614</td>
<td>2.2480</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the results in Table 4, it is obtained an overshoot value of 0 on all data. The overshoot obtained is optimal with the PID parameter values that meet the requirements, namely KP ≥ KI ≥ KD.

3.3. Hardware Testing

The hardware used in this test is shown in Fig. 20. Hardware testing is carried out after the testing process 1 to test 4 gets results in the form of 6 data for PID parameter values (KP, KI, KD) using the Trial and Error and GA methods, then the data is tested on a tool that has been designed to get optimal results in accordance with the aim of the researcher. The hardware testing process is run with the Arduino application and to display system response information using Matlab. The results of this hardware test are shown in Table 5.
Table 5. Comparison of PID Parameters on Testing 1 to 4 using Hardware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>KP Gain</th>
<th>KI Gain</th>
<th>KD Gain</th>
<th>Rise time</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trial and Error 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.2882</td>
<td>99.8000</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trial and Error 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7756</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm with Mutation 0.8</td>
<td>7.6270</td>
<td>1.9238</td>
<td>0.2930</td>
<td>1.3754</td>
<td>42.2500</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm with Mutation 1</td>
<td>3.7500</td>
<td>1.3184</td>
<td>0.2051</td>
<td>2.7872</td>
<td>13.5000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm with crossover 0.2</td>
<td>1.8750</td>
<td>5.9961</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>2.2917</td>
<td>94.2500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm with crossover 0.4</td>
<td>4.2090</td>
<td>1.2012</td>
<td>0.2539</td>
<td>2.6462</td>
<td>18.0000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm with number of Generations 120</td>
<td>3.7695</td>
<td>0.5469</td>
<td>0.6152</td>
<td>1.3200</td>
<td>24.3333</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Genetic Algorithm Influence Number of Generation 150</td>
<td>8.6532</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>4.9025</td>
<td>3.4228</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hardware testing is carried out 8 times according to the amount of data from the previous test. The sequence of these tests is in accordance with tests 1 to 4. The results of the hardware testing are in the form of a DC motor speed graph which will then be compared to get the smallest overshoot value. The graph is shown in Fig. 22 to Fig. 29.
Fig. 22. Graph of Trial and Error 2 Method on Hardware Testing

Fig. 23. Graph of the GA Mutation Method 0.8 on Hardware Testing

Fig. 24. Graph of the GA Mutation Method 1 on Hardware Testing
Fig. 25. Graph GA crossover 0.2 method on Hardware Testing

Fig. 26. Graph GA crossover 0.4 Method on Hardware Testing

Fig. 27. Graph GA Method Number of Generation 120 on Hardware Testing
The best PID parameters (Fig. 25) are $KP = 3.7500; KI = 1.3184$ and $KD = 0.2051$ with the overshoot value $= 2$, undershoot $= 0$ and, settling time $= 13.5$ and rise time $= 2.7872$. The other best PID parameters (Fig. 27) are $KP = 4.2090; KI = 1.2012$ and $KD = 0.2539$ with the overshoot value $= 2$, undershoot $= 0$ and for settling time $= 18$ and rise time $= 2.6462$.

4. Conclusion

After conducting research and processing the data in this study, the following conclusions can be drawn. The genetic algorithm for tuning PID controlling parameters has found the optimal solution with an overshoot below 10%, with the number of generations of 100 on the mutation effect of 0.4 and the crossover effect of 0.8. As well as the effect of the number of generations of variations of 120 and 150. The genetic algorithm method gives a system that has a better steady time and a smaller maximum spike than the Trial and Error method used in the simulation. The hardware testing process with the genetic algorithm method on a DC motor can run well, so that the best data is obtained in the form of an overshoot value below 10% and PID parameters that meet the requirements. The testing process produced the two best data with an overshoot value $= 2$ and the best PID parameter value at mutation 1 was $KP = 3.7500; KI = 1.3184$ and $KD = 0.2051$ and the best PID parameter value in crossover 0.4 is $KP = 4.2090; KI = 1.2012$ and $KD = 0.2539$.
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